Labour & Employment 2021

Contributing editors

Matthew Howse, K Lesli Ligorner, Walter Ahrens, Michael D Schlemmer and Sabine Smith-Vidal





Publisher

Tom Barnes

tom.barnes@lbresearch.com

Subscriptions

Claire Bagnall

claire.bagnall@lbresearch.com

Senior business development manager Adam Sargent

adam.sargent@gettingthedealthrough.com

Published by

Law Business Research Ltd Meridian House, 34-35 Farringdon Street London, EC4A 4HL, UK

The information provided in this publication is general and may not apply in a specific situation. Legal advice should always be sought before taking any legal action based on the information provided. This information is not intended to create, nor does receipt of it constitute, a lawyer-client relationship. The publishers and authors accept no responsibility for any acts or omissions contained herein. The information provided was verified between March and April 2021. Be advised that this is a developing area.

© Law Business Research Ltd 2021 No photocopying without a CLA licence. First published 2006 Sixteenth edition ISBN 978-1-83862-680-8

Printed and distributed by Encompass Print Solutions Tel: 0844 2480 112



Labour & Employment 2021

Contributing editors

Matthew Howse, K Lesli Ligorner, Walter Ahrens, Michael D Schlemmer and Sabine Smith-Vidal

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP

Lexology Getting The Deal Through is delighted to publish the sixteenth edition of *Labour* & *Employment*, which is available in print and online at www.lexology.com/gtdt.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through provides international expert analysis in key areas of law, practice and regulation for corporate counsel, cross-border legal practitioners, and company directors and officers.

Throughout this edition, and following the unique Lexology Getting The Deal Through format, the same key questions are answered by leading practitioners in each of the jurisdictions featured. Our coverage this year includes new chapters on Austria, Hong Kong, Hungary, Mauritius, Romania, Singapore and Taiwan.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through titles are published annually in print. Please ensure you are referring to the latest edition or to the online version at www.lexology.com/gtdt.

Every effort has been made to cover all matters of concern to readers. However, specific legal advice should always be sought from experienced local advisers.

Lexology Getting The Deal Through gratefully acknowledges the efforts of all the contributors to this volume, who were chosen for their recognised expertise. We also extend special thanks to the contributing editors, Matthew Howse, K Lesli Ligorner, Walter Ahrens, Michael D Schlemmer and Sabine Smith-Vidal of Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, for their continued assistance with this volume.



London April 2021

Reproduced with permission from Law Business Research Ltd This article was first published in May 2021 For further information please contact editorial@gettingthedealthrough.com

Contents

Global overview 5	Costa Rica	91
Matthew Howse	Alexander Godínez Vargas, Isabel C Jaramillo Arango and	
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	José Miguel Granados Benavides	
	Bufete Godínez & Asociados	
The future of flexible working for global employers		
following the covid-19 pandemic 7	Denmark	100
Louise Skinner and Matthew Howse	Yvonne Frederiksen	
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	Norrbom Vinding	
Argentina 11	Egypt	110
Mercedes Balado Bevilacqua and Cecilia Acosta	Mahmoud Belal, Richard G Tibichrani and Adham Abdel Moneim	1
MBB Balado Bevilacqua Abogados	Eldib Advocates	
Australia 21	Finland	116
Joydeep Hor	Pekka Kiviniemi and Tuuli Willgren	
People + Culture Strategies	Kalliolaw Asianajotoimisto Oy	
Austria 29	France	125
Barbara Klinger	Sabine Smith-Vidal and Charles Dauthier	
Schindler Attorneys	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	
Bangladesh 35	Germany	137
Tanvir Quader and Maliha Ahmed	Walter Ahrens	
Vertex Chambers	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	
Belgium 42	Ghana	149
Chris Van Olmen	Paa Kwesi Hagan and Joshua Hormenoo	
Van Olmen & Wynant	Globetrotters Legal Africa	
Brazil 51	Greece	157
Ana Lúcia Pinke Ribeiro de Paiva, Flavia Sulzer Augusto Dainese and	Christos Theodorou, Viktoria Chatzara and Evridiki Evangelopou	ulou
Marília Chrysostomo Chessa	Rokas Law Firm	
Araújo e Policastro Advogados	Hong Kong	167
Canada 64	Charles Mo, Justina Lam, Queenie Liu and Joanne Mok	
Lisa Cabel, Richelle Pollard, Kaley Dodds, Derek Klatt and	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	
Maciej Lipinski	Hungary	178
KPMG Law		170
China 73	Krisztina Lakner, Hoa Tünde Nguyen and Zoltán Csernus VJT & Partners Law Firm	
K Lesli Ligorner and Yuting Zhu	125	101
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	India	185
Colombia 84	Rohit Kochhar	
Vicente Umaña Carrizosa and Maria I Anaya Kerquelen	Kochhar & Co	
Holland & Knight LLP	Indonesia	194
	Fahrul S Yusuf	

SSEK Legal Consultants

417

Humberto Padilla GonzalezMartin Šafar and Polona BoršnakMorgan, Lewis & Bockius LLPLaw firm Šafar & PartnersMonaco304South Korea409				
Arthur Cox LLP Israel Israel 212 Norway 326 Tore Lerhelm and Ole Kristian Olsby Homble Olsby Littler Italy 219 Phillippines 335 Angelo Zambelli Grimaldi Studio Legale Poland 340 Motoi Fujii and Tomoko Narita TMI Associates Kazakhstan 245 Klara A Nurgazijeva, Marat Mukhamediyev and Zhamilya Biltisbekova Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Kenya 255 Desmond Odhiambo, Christine Mugenyu and Peter Mutema Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Luxembourg 346 347 348 349 349 349 349 340 340 340 340	Ireland	203	Nigeria	320
Netta Bromberg Barnea Jaffa Lande Norway Sack	Louise O'Byrne and Sarah Faulkner		Kunle Obebe	
Netta Bromberg Barnea Jaffa Lande Tore Lerheim and Ole Kristian Olsby Homble Olsby Littler Philippines 335 Angelo Zambelli Gormaldi Studio Legale Japan 232 Poland 344 Motoi Fujii and Tornoko Narita TMI Associates Kazakhstan 245 Klara A Nurgaziyeva, Marat Mukhamediyev and Zhamilya Biltisbekova Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Luxembourg 255 Buy Castegnaro, Ariane Claverie and Christophe Domingos Castegnaro Malaysia 278 Bilshan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree 5 Fifteen Barristers Mexico 296 Bushan Almalda Marci Salar and Polona Borśnak Lumberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritius 287 Bilshan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree 5 Fifteen Barristers Monaco 304 South Korea 409 Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Jijpong Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jijpong	Arthur Cox LLP		Bloomfield Law	
Barnea Jaffa Lande Italy 219 Phillippines 335 Angelo Zambelli Grimaldi Studio Legale Dante T Pamintuan, Leslie C Dy and Anna Loraine M Mendoza SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan Japan 232 Poland Motoi Fujii and Tomoko Narita Thil Associates Kazakhstan 245 Rilara A Nurgaziyeva, Marat Mukhamediyev and Zhamilya Biltisbekova Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Kenya 255 Puerto Rico 363 Besmond Odhiambo, Christine Mugenyu and Peter Mutema Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Luxembourg Cay Castegnaro, Ariane Claverie and Christophe Domingos Castegnaro Castegnaro Malaysia 278 Russia Siva Kumar Kanagasabai, Selvamatar Alagaratnam and Foo Siew Li SKRINE Mauritius 287 Bilshan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree 5 Fifteen Barristers Mexico 296 Humberto Padilta Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Maurit Safar and Polona Borsnak Law firm Safar a Partners Monaco 304 Sopthie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Jippong Mari Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jippong	Israel	212	Norway	326
Italy 219 Philippines 335 Angelo Zambelli Grimaldi Studio Legale Dante T Pamintuan, Leslie C Dy and Anna Loraine M Mendoza SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan SyCip Salazar H	Netta Bromberg		Tore Lerheim and Ole Kristian Olsby	
Angeto Zambelli Grimaldi Studio Legale 232 Poland 344 Motoi Fujii and Tomoko Narita TMI Associates Kazakhstan Aurgaziyeva, Marat Mukhamediyev and Zhamilya Bilisbekova Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Luxembourg Augaratan Castegnaro Malaysia Siva Kumar Kanagasabai, Selvamalar Alagaratnam and Foo Siew Li SKRINE Singapore Augritus Bilshan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree 5 Fifteen Barristers Monaco 304 Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco 134 Poland Sakazakh Krzykowski and Wojciech Bigaj BKB Baran Książek Bigaj BKB Baran Książek Bigaj BKB Baran Książek Bigaj BKB Baran Książek Bigaj BKB Baran Książek Bigaj BKB Baran Książek Bigaj BKB Baran Książek Bigaj BKB Baran Książek Bigaj BKB Baran Książek Bigaj BKB Baran Książek Bigaj BKB Baran Książek Bigaj BKB Baran Książek Bigaj BY Portuga 355 Westa Ksiacya Gardinguez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Bartin Šafar and Polona Boršnak Law firm Šafar & Partners Wonga Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Barnea Jaffa Lande		Homble Olsby Littler	
Grimatdi Studio Legale SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan Japan 232 Poland 344 Motoi Fujii and Tomoko Narita TMI Associates Kazakhstan 245 Portugal 355 Klara A Nurgaziyeva, Marat Mukhamediyev and Zhamilya Bilisbekova Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP SKeya 255 Puerto Rico 363 Desmond Odhiambo, Christine Mugenyu and Peter Mutema Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Luxembourg 262 Romania 375 Guy Castegnaro, Ariane Claverie and Christophe Domingos Simona Anton Muşat & Asociaţii Malaysia 278 Russia 383 Siva Kumar Kanagasabai, Selvamalar Alagaratnam and Foo Siew Li SKRINE Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritius 287 Singapore 393 Bilshan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree 5 Fifteen Barristers Mexico 296 Slovenia Aleyarine Slovenia Law firm Safar and Polona Boršnak Law firm Safar Bortners Monaco 304 South Korea 409 Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jippyong	Italy	219	Philippines	335
Motor Fujii and Tomoko Narita Daniet Książek, Pawet Krzykowski and Wojciech Bigaj BKB Baran Książek Bigaj	Angelo Zambelli		Dante T Pamintuan, Leslie C Dy and Anna Loraine M Mendoza	
Motoi Fujii and Tomoko Narita TMI Associates Kazakhstan 245 RIdara A Nurgaziyeva, Marat Mukhamediyev and Zhamilya Bilisbekova Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mexico 15 Fifteen Barristers Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritius 287 Bilshan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree 5 Fifteen Barristers Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Daniel Książek, Paweł Krzykowski and Wojciech Bigaj BKB Baran Książek Bigaj Portugal 355 Portugal 355 RIdara A Nurgaziyeva, Marat Mukhamediyev and Zhamilya Bilisbekova Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritius 287 Bela Pelman and Anastasia Kiseleva Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritius 383 Bilshan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree 5 Fifteen Barristers Morgan, Lewis Stamford LLC Mexico 296 Humberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Morgan, Lewis Stamford LLC Morgan, Lewis Stamford LLC Mauritin Šafar and Polona Boršnak Law firm Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritin Šafar & Partners	Grimaldi Studio Legale		SyCip Salazar Hernandez & Gatmaitan	
TMI Associates BKB Baran Książek Bigaj Kazakhstan 245 Portugal 355 Klara A Nurgaziyeva, Marat Mukhamediyev and Zhamilya Bilisbekova Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Joana Almeida Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados Kenya 255 Puerto Rico 363 Desmond Odhiambo, Christine Mugenyu and Peter Mutema Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Melissa C Rodriguez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Luxembourg 262 Romania 375 Guy Castegnaro, Ariane Claverie and Christophe Domingos Castegnaro Simona Anton Muşat & Asociaţii Malaysia 278 Russia 383 Siva Kumar Kanagasabai, Selvamalar Alagaratnam and Foo Siew Li SKRINE Bela Pelman and Anastasia Kiseleva Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritius 287 Singapore 393 Bilshan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree 5 Fifteen Barristers Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Mexico 296 Martin Šafar and Polona Boršnak Law firm Šafar & Partners Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Law firm Šafar & Partners Monaco 304 South Korea 409 Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sonn Jipyong Jipyong	Japan	232	Poland	344
Kazakhstan 245 Klara A Nurgaziyeva, Marat Mukhamediyev and Zhamilya Bilisbekova Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Kenya 255 Puerto Rico 363 Desmond Odhiambo, Christine Mugenyu and Peter Mutema Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Luxembourg 262 Romania 375 Guy Castegnaro, Ariane Claverie and Christophe Domingos Castegnaro Malaysia 278 Siva Kumar Kanagasabai, Selvamalar Alagaratnam and Foo Siew Li SKRINE Mauritius 287 Bilishan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree 5 Fifteen Barristers Mexico 296 Humberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Maurito 296 Mary Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Somona Altmeida Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Portugal 365 Portugal Joana Almeida Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados Melissa C Rodriguez Melissa C Rodriguez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Simona Almeida Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Simona Almeida Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Simpapore 393 Bela Pelman and Anastasia Kiseleva Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Mexico 296 Martin Šafar & Partners Monaco 304 South Korea 409 Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Motoi Fujii and Tomoko Narita		Daniel Książek, Paweł Krzykowski and Wojciech Bigaj	
Klara A Nurgaziyeva, Marat Mukhamediyev and Zhamilya Bilisbekova Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Kenya 255 Puerto Rico Melissa C Rodriguez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mexico 287 Mexico 288 Mexico 296 Mexico 304 South Korea Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mexico 304 Mexico 304 South Korea Morgan Lewis Samford LLC Mexico 304 South Korea Morgan Lewis Samford Sam Partners Morgan Lewis Sam Partners Martin Šafar and Polona Boršnak Law firm Šafar & Partners Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	TMI Associates		BKB Baran Książek Bigaj	
Kenya 255 Puerto Rico 363 Desmond Odhiambo, Christine Mugenyu and Peter Mutema Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Melissa C Rodriguez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Luxembourg 262 Romania 375 Guy Castegnaro, Ariane Claverie and Christophe Domingos Castegnaro Simona Anton Muşat & Asociaţii 383 Malaysia 278 Russia 383 Siva Kumar Kanagasabai, Selvamalar Alagaratnam and Foo Siew Li SKRINE Bela Pelman and Anastasia Kiseleva Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritius 287 Singapore 393 Bilshan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree 5 Fifteen Barristers Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Mexico 296 Humberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP 402 Munterto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP South Korea 409 Monaco 304 South Korea 409 Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong Jipyong	Kazakhstan	245	Portugal	355
Name	Klara A Nurgaziyeva, Marat Mukhamediyev and Zhamilya Bilish	oekova	Joana Almeida	
Desmond Odhiambo, Christine Mugenyu and Peter Mutema Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr 262 Romania 375 Guy Castegnaro, Ariane Claverie and Christophe Domingos Castegnaro Malaysia 278 Simona Anton Muşat & Asociaţii Malaysia 278 Russia 383 Siva Kumar Kanagasabai, Selvamalar Alagaratnam and Foo Siew Li SKRINE Mauritius Bela Pelman and Anastasia Kiseleva Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritius Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Mexico 296 Humberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Monaco 304 Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Mexico 409 Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP		Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados	
Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr Luxembourg 262 Romania 375 Guy Castegnaro, Ariane Claverie and Christophe Domingos Castegnaro Malaysia 278 Simona Anton Muşat & Asociaţii Malaysia Siva Kumar Kanagasabai, Selvamalar Alagaratnam and Foo Siew Li SKRINE Mauritius Singapore Singapore Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Mexico 196 Humberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Monaco 304 South Korea Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Kenya	255	Puerto Rico	363
Luxembourg Guy Castegnaro, Ariane Claverie and Christophe Domingos Castegnaro Malaysia Siva Kumar Kanagasabai, Selvamalar Alagaratnam and Foo Siew Li SKRINE Mauritius Bela Pelman and Anastasia Kiseleva Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritius Singapore Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Mexico Pumberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Monaco Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Simona Anton Mușat & Asociații Simona Anton Mușat & Asociații Sela Pelman and Anastasia Kiseleva Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Soluenia Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Slovenia Aurtin Šafar and Polona Boršnak Law firm Šafar & Partners Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Desmond Odhiambo, Christine Mugenyu and Peter Mutema		Melissa C Rodriguez	
Guy Castegnaro, Ariane Claverie and Christophe Domingos Castegnaro Malaysia 278 Russia 383 Siva Kumar Kanagasabai, Selvamalar Alagaratnam and Foo Siew Li SKRINE Mauritius Bela Pelman and Anastasia Kiseleva Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritius Bilshan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree 5 Fifteen Barristers Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Mexico Law firm Šafar and Polona Boršnak Law firm Šafar & Partners Monaco 304 South Korea Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Cliffe Dekker Hofmeyr		Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	
Castegnaro Muşat & Asociaţii Malaysia Siva Kumar Kanagasabai, Selvamalar Alagaratnam and Foo Siew Li SKRINE Mauritius Bela Pelman and Anastasia Kiseleva Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritius Singapore Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Mexico Humberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Monaco 304 South Korea Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Luxembourg	262	Romania	375
Malaysia Siva Kumar Kanagasabai, Selvamalar Alagaratnam and Foo Siew Li SKRINE Mauritius Bela Pelman and Anastasia Kiseleva Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Singapore Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Mexico Humberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Slovenia Martin Šafar and Polona Boršnak Law firm Šafar & Partners Monaco Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Musica South Korea Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Guy Castegnaro, Ariane Claverie and Christophe Domingos		Simona Anton	
Siva Kumar Kanagasabai, Selvamalar Alagaratnam and Foo Siew Li SKRINE Bela Pelman and Anastasia Kiseleva Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Mauritius Singapore Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Mexico Humberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Monaco South Korea Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Castegnaro		Muşat & Asociaţii	
Mauritius Bilshan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree 5 Fifteen Barristers Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Mexico Humberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Monaco Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Malaysia	278	Russia	383
Mauritius Bilshan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree 5 Fifteen Barristers Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Mexico Humberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Monaco Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Singapore Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Slovenia Martin Šafar and Polona Boršnak Law firm Šafar & Partners Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Siva Kumar Kanagasabai, Selvamalar Alagaratnam and Foo Si	ew Li	Bela Pelman and Anastasia Kiseleva	
Bilshan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree 5 Fifteen Barristers Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Mexico Humberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Monaco Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Martin Šafar and Polona Boršnak Law firm Šafar & Partners South Korea Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	SKRINE		Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	
Mexico Humberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Monaco Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC Slovenia Martin Šafar and Polona Boršnak Law firm Šafar & Partners South Korea Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Mauritius	287	Singapore	393
Mexico Humberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Monaco Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Slovenia Martin Šafar and Polona Boršnak Law firm Šafar & Partners South Korea Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Bilshan Nursimulu and Rohan Bokhoree		Daniel Chia and Yanguang Ker	
Humberto Padilla Gonzalez Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP Monaco Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Martin Šafar and Polona Boršnak Law firm Šafar & Partners South Korea Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	5 Fifteen Barristers		Morgan Lewis Stamford LLC	
Monaco Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Law firm Šafar & Partners South Korea Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Mexico	296	Slovenia	402
Monaco Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco South Korea Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Humberto Padilla Gonzalez		Martin Šafar and Polona Boršnak	
Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas CMS Monaco Kwang Sun Lee, Young Hwan Kwon, Ja Hyeong Ku, Marc Kyuha Baek and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP		Law firm Šafar & Partners	
CMS Monaco and Jane Young Sohn Jipyong	Monaco	304	South Korea	409
Jipyong	Sophie Marquet and Florence de Guzman de Saint Nicolas			a Baek
	CMS Monaco			
	Netherlands	312	Jipyong	

Eric van Dam, Wouter Engelsman and Dennis Veldhuizen

CLINT | Littler

Sweden

Robert Stromberg and Jonas Lindskog

Advokatfirman Cederquist KB

Charles S Laubach and Alex Vromans

Afridi & Angell

Switzerland	426	United Kingdom	463
Roland Bachmann, Yannick Hostettler, Martina Braun, Michel Ho Jean-François Mayoraz and Dominic Tschümperlin Wenger Plattner	owald,	Lee Harding and Matthew Howse Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	
		United States	475
Taiwan Hung Ou Yang, Chieh-Yu Lai and Tzu-Peng Chen Brain Trust International Law Firm	435	Michael D Schlemmer and Claire M Lesikar Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	
		Zambia	486
Thailand	444	Misozi Hope Masengu and Nchimunya Mwale	
Pisut Rakwong and Wayu Suthisarnsuntorn Pisut & Partners		Novus HM Legal Practitioners	
United Arab Emirates	452		

Portugal

Joana Almeida

Morais Leitão, Galvão Teles, Soares da Silva & Associados

LEGISLATION AND AGENCIES

Primary and secondary legislation

What are the main statutes and regulations relating to employment?

The main statutes and regulations relating to employment are the Labour Code, approved by Law No. 7/2009, of 12 February 2009, and the Labour Code Regulation, approved by Law No. 105/2009, of 14 September 2009, both as amended. Specific areas of regulation (eg, health and safety at work, work accidents and occupational diseases and labour misdemeanours) and special types of contract of employment (eg, work from home, sports work, work in ports or on board, showbusiness and domestic service) are governed by specific statutes.

Protected employee categories

Is there any law prohibiting discrimination or harassment in employment? If so, what categories are regulated under the law?

Discrimination and harassment in employment are forbidden by law, on general and specific levels: there is a general prohibition of discrimination and harassment in the Labour Code, supplemented by specific legislation (eg, Law No. 60/2018, of 21 August 2018, on measures to promote equal pay between men and women). As of 2009, the Labour Procedural Code, approved by Decree-Law No. 480/99, of 9 November 1999, as amended, contains one specific injunction in matters of sexrelated equality and non-discrimination.

In accordance with the Labour Code, employers are prevented from either privileging or harming employees or applicants on the basis of factors as varied as ancestry, age, sex, sexual orientation, gender identity, marital status, family situation, economic situation, education, origin or social conditions, genetic heritage, reduced work capacity, disability, chronic disease, nationality, ethnic origin or race, territory of origin, language, religion, political or ideological beliefs and trade union membership. Despite its width, the list is not exhaustive.

Enforcement agencies

What are the primary government agencies or other entities responsible for the enforcement of employment statutes and regulations?

The primary government agency responsible for the enforcement of employment statutes and regulations is the Authority for Working Conditions, a central service of the Ministry of Solidarity, Employment and Social Security, which is currently governed by Regulation Decree No. 47/2012 of 31 July 2012. Other specialised services of the Ministry have important responsibilities at the level of employment; the General Directorate for Employment and Work Relations, for example,

operates in the field of collective dismissals, collective bargaining and strikes.

WORKER REPRESENTATION

Legal basis

4 Is there any legislation mandating or allowing the establishment of employees' representatives in the workplace?

There is no legislation mandating the establishment of employees' representatives in the workplace but various forms of representation are allowed. The law governs, in particular, works councils, members of trade union management, trade union representatives at company level and their organisation in committees, European Works Councils and employees' representatives in the field of health and safety. In certain domains – such as collective dismissals and transfer of undertakings – employees are encouraged to incorporate ad hoc representative committees where no employee representatives operate at company level.

Powers of representatives

5 What are their powers?

The powers of employees' representatives vary in accordance with their nature. The entity with the widest powers is the works council, followed by union representatives at company level. Other representatives, such as those in the field of health and safety, have powers in their area of expertise. The powers of European Works Councils derive, for the most part, from the respective by-laws, as the legal framework essentially deals with the appointment of members.

Works councils and union representatives at company level have the right to be informed and consulted on a number of issues. Depending on the subject, consultation is to take place prior to the management decision being taken. They are also entitled to participate in company restructuring, hold meetings in the workplace (during or outside working hours) and post information. Works councils must be given adequate premises; the same applies to union representatives where the company or establishment has 150 employees or more. Both works council and union representatives are entitled to paid time out and to take justified absences (without pay) to carry out their duties as employees' representatives.

Despite the fact that the Labour Code elects the works council as the employer's main counterparty (eg, where there is a works council, the employer need not engage with the trade union during the collective dismissal procedure), certain powers are constitutionally reserved to trade unions, such as collective bargaining. Trade unions are also entitled to call a strike, although an assembly of employees sufficiently represented (as defined by law) may do so as well.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION ON APPLICANTS

Background checks

6 Are there any restrictions or prohibitions against background checks on applicants? Does it make a difference if an employer conducts its own checks or hires a third party?

Background checks on applicants must respect their right to privacy and be limited to what is strictly relevant to assess their capability to work. Personal data collected are subject to data protection legislation, so that (for example) applicants have a right to information, access, correction and update. Where the data are collected by a third party, such third party is a data processor for data protection purposes.

Medical examinations

Are there any restrictions or prohibitions against requiring a medical examination as a condition of employment?

Prospective employers may only request applicants to undergo medical tests and exams where they are aimed at protecting and safeguarding employees or third parties, or when particular requirements of the activity so justify. The request must be grounded in writing and the doctor may only communicate to the employer if the candidate is fit for work. In no circumstance may an employee be asked to take a pregnancy test.

If the request to undertake a medical examination is lawful, the employer may refuse to hire an applicant who does not submit to examination.

Special provisions were approved for covid-19 reasons, allowing for body temperature measurement tests in designated places (eg, public institutions, schools, hospitals or prisons).

Drug and alcohol testing

Are there any restrictions or prohibitions against drug and alcohol testing of applicants?

There are no express legal restrictions or prohibitions against drug and alcohol testing of applicants; however, guidelines issued in 2010 by the National Data Protection Authority limit such testing to occupational health requirements and employees whose activity may endanger them or third parties. Where these conditions apply to a prospective employee, an employer may refuse to hire an applicant who refuses to take the test.

HIRING OF EMPLOYEES

Preference and discrimination

Are there any legal requirements to give preference in hiring to, or not to discriminate against, particular people or groups of people?

The general prohibition of discrimination present in the law applies to all stages of the contract of employment, including hiring. In very specific cases, certain categories of employees are to be given preference in hiring; for example, those working under fixed-term employment contracts have priority in occupying permanent positions that become available. Likewise, Law No. 4/2019, of 10 January 2019 imposes on certain private and public entities the obligation to employ a minimum percentage of handicapped individuals.

Must there be a written employment contract? If yes, what essential terms are required to be evidenced in writing?

As a rule, employment contracts do not need to be concluded in writing. However, certain types of contracts of employment must take a written form, such as those providing for fixed-term, part-time, remote, agency and intermittent work or work for multiple employers.

Despite the rule that no written form is required, employers are under the obligation to provide written information on the terms and conditions of employment, including the:

- · employer's identification, group company and head office;
- · workplace or places;
- professional category or a brief description of the functions;
- date the contract is entered into and becomes effective;
- likely duration of the contract, if concluded for a fixed-term;
- duration of annual vacation or criteria to determine it:
- notice periods applicable to employer and employee, or criteria to determine them;
- amount and periodicity of pay;
- daily and weekly period of work, specifying where it is to be determined on average terms;
- · work accidents' insurance policy and company;
- applicable collective bargaining agreement; and
- applicable work compensation fund and work guarantee compensation fund.

For this reason, most employment contracts are concluded in writing.

11 To what extent are fixed-term employment contracts permissible?

Fixed-term contracts are permissible in three situations: where the employer faces a temporary need (eg, to replace an employee who is temporarily absent, to conclude construction work or to respond to an exceptional increase in activity); if a company launches a new activity, begins operation or (in companies with less than 250 employees) opens up a new establishment, in the two years following the event; or to hire employees in prolonged unemployment.

Regardless of the type of ground, fixed-term employment contracts may not last for more than two years and are subject to a maximum number of three renewals.

Probationary period

12 What is the maximum probationary period permitted by law?

The maximum probationary period permitted by law depends on the type of contract of employment, the job position and the employee's personal characteristics.

Type of contract	Duration of probationary period
Fixed or non-fixed term employment contract concluded for 6 months or more, or expected to last more than 6 months	30 days
Fixed or non-fixed term employment contract concluded for less than 6 months, or expected to last less than 6 months	15 days
Open-ended employment contract	Rule: 90 days Employees seeking their first job or in long-term unemployment: 180 days Employees holding positions of technical complexity or high degree of responsibility, requiring particular qualifications or based on a relationship of trust: 180 days Management positions: 240 days

Individual and collective bargaining agreements may reduce the duration of probationary period but only the parties (in writing) may eliminate it altogether. Previous agreements in force between the parties for the same job position reduce or eliminate the admissible duration of probationary period in a subsequent contract.

Classification as contractor or employee

What are the primary factors that distinguish an independent contractor from an employee?

The primary factor that distinguishes an independent contractor from an employee is subordination: employees work under the employer's authority and supervision.

The law presumes a contract of employment to be in place whenever two or more of the following characteristics are present:

- the activity is carried out in a place belonging to the beneficiary or indicated by the beneficiary;
- the work equipment and tools belong to the beneficiary;
- the provider observes hours to start and end work, determined by the beneficiary;
- the provider is paid a guaranteed amount, with a given periodicity; or
- the provider carries out management or supervision tasks in the company's organisation.

It is up to the presumed employer to prove otherwise.

Temporary agency staffing

14 Is there any legislation governing temporary staffing through recruitment agencies?

Agency work is governed by the Labour Code with respect to the employment contract concluded between the worker and the agency and the services agreement concluded between the agency and the end user, including admissible grounds to recourse to agency work and its maximum duration. The incorporation and requirements (including the permit) of agency companies are governed by Decree-Law No. 260/2009, of 25 September 2009, as amended, which also addresses private recruitment agencies acting as intermediates between prospective employers and employees.

FOREIGN WORKERS

Visas

15 Are there any numerical limitations on short-term visas?
Are visas available for employees transferring from one corporate entity in one jurisdiction to a related entity in another jurisdiction?

There are no numerical limitations on short-term visas. They are issued in situations in which workers are not visa-exempted and that do not warrant the granting of another visa (eg, temporary stay or residence). The total duration of the stay may not exceed 90 days per term, consecutively or successively, renewable for an equal period and counted from the first time an external border (any Schengen state's) is crossed.

The law provides for two possibilities for employees transferring from one corporate entity in one jurisdiction to a related entity in another jurisdiction: a residence permit for employees transferred within the same company or companies' group; or a temporary stay visa for citizens of states that are part of the World Trade Organization, transferred in the context of a provision of services or professional training.

Spouses

16 Are spouses of authorised workers entitled to work?

Spouses of authorised workers in possession of a residence permit may work.

General rules

17 What are the rules for employing foreign workers and what are the sanctions for employing a foreign worker that does not have a right to work in the jurisdiction?

The essence of the regime on foreign workers (other than nationals of EU and EEA member states, countries with which Portugal has concluded an agreement providing for the free movement of persons, refugees, beneficiaries of a subsidiary or temporary protection and members of the family of a Portuguese citizen or one of the above-mentioned foreign individuals) is that only employees in possession of a specific visa or permit are authorised to render subordinated work. The contract must contain specific references (eg, the beneficiaries in the event of death) and the documents attesting the compliance with the relevant framework (eg, the residence permit) are to be attached thereto. The hiring of the foreign worker must be communicated to the Authority for Working Conditions prior to the start of activity.

Sanctions for employing a foreign worker that does not have a right to work in Portugal range from criminal to misdemeanour liability, including accessory sanctions, such as the obligation to return public financing. Employers, end users and contractors are jointly and severally liable for employment claims, sanctions and expenses with the stay and removal of the foreign worker. Where the infraction is committed by a legal entity, members of its board of directors are jointly and severally liable for the payment of the fine.

Resident labour market test

18 Is a labour market test required as a precursor to a short or long-term visa?

As a rule, labour market tests are required as a precursor to the granting of a residence permit enabling the foreign worker to render subordinated work in Portugal (but not for highly qualified workers, for example). The report on work opportunities is to be approved annually by the Cabinet, following an opinion by the Standing Committee for Social Concertation. There are, however, exceptions, aimed at retaining a flexible labour market (eg, visa granted to a foreign worker in possession of a work contract where the job offer was not fulfilled by candidates with a pre-emption right).

Despite the wording of the law, the report was last approved in 2010.

TERMS OF EMPLOYMENT

Working hours

19 Are there any restrictions or limitations on working hours and may an employee opt out of such restrictions or limitations?

The maximum daily and weekly period of work is eight and 40 hours, respectively. An employee may opt out of such limits to concentrate work into four days per week or agree (or be required to, for example, under a collective bargaining agreement) to have his or her working hours determined on average during a given reference period, so that periods of more work are compensated with periods of less work (or other forms of compensation, depending on the relevant time-banking system). Particular provisions apply to particular types of employees; for example, those who work exclusively when other employees take their weekly rest may work up to 12 hours.

Likewise, employees in management positions and those with autonomous decision-making power whose work is not timetabled are excluded from most working time restrictions set forth in the law; for example, such employees may work more than six consecutive hours without taking a break and need not rest a minimum of 11 hours in between working days.

Overtime pay

20 What categories of workers are entitled to overtime pay and how is it calculated?

All categories of workers are entitled to overtime pay. Typically, those whose work is not timetabled do not render overtime work when they exceed the maximum periods of work during working days (but do render overtime work and are entitled to overtime pay when they work during weekends or bank holidays).

The overtime pay increase is calculated pursuant to the applicable collective bargaining agreement or, in the absence thereof, the law. Presently, the pay increases set forth in the law are the following:

- on working days: 25 per cent in the first hour or fraction thereof and 37.5 per cent thereafter;
- on weekly days of rest and bank holidays: 50 per cent for each hour or fraction thereof

Unless a collective agreement states differently, the pay increase is calculated over base remuneration and seniority premiums.

21 Can employees contractually waive the right to overtime pay?

Employees cannot contractually waive the right to overtime pay. Employees in management positions whose work is not timetabled can contractually waive the right to the pay increase due for working exempted from a timetable; however, when such employees work on weekends or bank holidays, they render overtime work and are entitled to the corresponding overtime pay.

Vacation and holidays

22 Is there any legislation establishing the right to annual vacation and holidays?

The Labour Code sets the minimum annual vacation at 22 business days. Special provisions apply to the year of hire or to situations where the contract lasted less than six months, the employee being entitled to two business days of vacation for each month of duration of the contract. If the contract lasted less than 12 months or ended in the year following the year of hire, the duration of annual vacation is proportional to the duration of the contract.

Annual vacation accrues on 1 January each year and (except for the year of hire) refers to the work rendered in the previous year. It is to be taken, in principle, until 31 December of the year of accrual, so the law limits the situations in which an employee can carry forward (in whole or in part) the leave of one year into the following year.

The law also contemplates 13 statutory bank holidays, employees being released from work without loss of pay. Those who work for companies exempted from the obligation to close on bank holidays must work and are entitled to either compensatory rest equivalent to half of the period of work rendered on that day or a 50 per cent pay increase, the choice resting with the employer (collective bargaining agreements often divert from the legal regime, increasing employees' entitlements).

Sick leave and sick pay

23 Is there any legislation establishing the right to sick leave or sick pay?

Absences owing to the employee being sick are justified. Provided the employee is covered by the social security in the event of sickness, no remuneration is due. Where the absences last for more than a month (or as soon as it becomes clear that that will be the case), the contract suspends by operation of law and no pay is due as a result.

Sick pay is governed by Decree-Law No. 28/2004, of 4 February 2004, as amended. Provided the employee meets the remaining criteria (eg, has a minimum period of six months of contributions to the social security, 12 days of which fell in the four months preceding the illness), sick pay is due, as a rule, as of the fourth day of absence, the respective amount increasing with time and ranging from 55 per cent to 75 per cent of the remuneration of reference (80 per cent or 100 per cent in case of tuberculosis, depending on the number of dependants).

Leave of absence

24 In what circumstances may an employee take a leave of absence? What is the maximum duration of such leave and does an employee receive pay during the leave?

Employees may take leaves of absence for parental reasons or to attend training or for a degree. Leaves for parental reasons are subject to a maximum duration: two years as a rule, extended to a maximum of six depending on the circumstances (eg, a child who is handicapped or has a chronic illness; no maximum duration applies to the final stages of the terminal disease of a child if the doctor attests the need for continuing assistance). Leaves for educational purposes are not subject to a maximum duration (but rather a minimum: 60 days); however, in certain circumstances, the employer may refuse to grant it (eg, the employee had been given adequate training or a similar leave in the previous 24 months, has less than three years of service or did not request the leave at least 90 days in advance).

Student employees are entitled to request, from their employer, up to 10 working days' leave each year.

Pay is not due during these leaves (those for parental reasons are subsidised by the state).

Mandatory employee benefits

25 What employee benefits are prescribed by law?

Employees are entitled to paid annual vacation and 13 mandatory bank holidays per year. They are paid holiday allowance and Christmas allowance, resulting in a total of 14 months of pay per year.

Part-time and fixed-term employees

Are there any special rules relating to part-time or fixed-term employees?

There are special legal rules ensuring that part-time and fixed-term workers are entitled to equal treatment.

Likewise, the employer must advertise existing vacant full-time and permanent positions and fixed-term workers have a right of preference – up to 30 days after the termination of their contract – in being hired under an open-ended contract of employment for the exercise of functions identical to those that they previously carried out. Failure to observe this preference entitles the fixed-term worker to an indemnity equivalent to three months of pay.

The hiring and termination of fixed-term workers must be communicated to employee representatives and the Authority for Working Conditions. Where the relevant employee was pregnant, within 120

days of giving birth, breastfeeding or taking parental leave, the motive for not renewing the fixed-term contract must be communicated to the equality commission five working days in advance of the date of notice of termination.

Public disclosures

27 Must employers publish information on pay or other details about employees or the general workforce?

Employers must publish information about several employment-related topics:

- internal regulations in force (those on harassment are mandatory for employers with at least seven employees);
- · information on parental rights and equality and discrimination; and
- information regarding various other topics, such as vacant fulltime and permanent positions, timetables, existing remote means of surveillance (eg, cameras) and applicable collective bargaining agreements.

POST-EMPLOYMENT RESTRICTIVE COVENANTS

Validity and enforceability

28 To what extent are post-termination covenants not to compete, solicit or deal valid and enforceable?

Post-termination covenants not to compete are valid provided they are agreed to in writing, the activity is likely to cause damage to the employer and the employee is paid adequate compensation during the restricted period. The maximum duration of such covenant is two years, extended to three if the employee's activity was based on a particular relationship of trust or gave access to particularly sensitive information from a competition viewpoint.

There are no legal provisions on the soliciting of, or dealing with, customers or suppliers; however, these may not operate as non-compete covenants. Undertakings not to hire employees or to pay an indemnity in the case of hire are null and void (hence, not enforceable).

Post-employment payments

29 Must an employer continue to pay the former employee while they are subject to post-employment restrictive covenants?

To be valid and enforceable, post-employment covenants not to compete mandate the payment of adequate compensation (which may be reduced where the employer incurred significant expense with the employee's occupational training). The law does not define 'adequate' compensation; its only existing legal reference allows for the interpretation that it may be lower than the employee's pay while employed.

LIABILITY FOR ACTS OF EMPLOYEES

Extent of liability

30 In which circumstances may an employer be held liable for the acts or conduct of its employees?

Employers are generally liable for the acts or conduct of their employees, including in matters of misdemeanour liability. Accordingly, and for example, the request for an injunction to avoid or remedy an offence to the employee's personality is filed against both the author of the threat or offence and the employer, and there are court decisions condemning the employer to pay an indemnity for damages resulting from harassment committed by the employee's supervisor.

TAXATION OF EMPLOYEES

Applicable taxes

31 What employment-related taxes are prescribed by law?

The most typical employment-related taxes prescribed by law subject employment income to personal income tax and social security contributions. Social security contributions are due by both employer and employee, usually at the rate of 23.75 per cent and 11 per cent, respectively. The employer is under the obligation to withhold on source for both personal income tax and social security purposes.

As of 1 October 2013, in relation to employees hired henceforth, employers are also obliged to contribute 1 per cent of the employee's base remuneration and seniority premiums to the work compensation fund and the work guarantee compensation fund (or similar mechanisms).

EMPLOYEE-CREATED IP

Ownership rights

32 Is there any legislation addressing the parties' rights with respect to employee inventions?

Employee inventions and designs are ruled by the Industrial Property Code, approved by Decree-Law No. 110/2018, of 10 December 2018.

Provisions regulating employee creations are essentially contained in the Copyright and Neighbouring Rights Code, approved by Decree-Law No. 63/85, of 14 March 1985, as amended. The Code includes special rules for certain artistic works (eg, photographs) and professions (eg, journalists), which, in the case of journalists, are reinforced by their own specific statute (Law No. 1/99, of 13 January 1999, as amended).

Although software is generally protected by copyright in Portugal, the ownership of employee-created software is covered by specific legislation, namely Decree-Law No. 252/94, of 20 October 1994.

Trade secrets and confidential information

33 Is there any legislation protecting trade secrets and other confidential business information?

Portugal has implemented Directive (EU) 2016/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 8 June 2016 on the protection of trade secrets by including the relevant provisions in the Industrial Property Code. The new legal provisions on trade secrets came into force on 1 January 2019. Confidential information is also protected through contractual arrangements, such as non-disclosure agreements.

DATA PROTECTION

Rules and obligations

34 Is there any legislation protecting employee privacy or personnel data? If so, what are an employer's obligations under the legislation?

The Labour Code has a number of provisions on employee privacy and personal data, which essentially cover:

- the employee's right to the intimacy of his or her private life;
- · the protection of personal data;
- biometric data;
- medical tests and exams;
- · means of remote surveillance; and
- the employee's right to confidentiality in terms of private correspondence (eg, via email) and internet access.

Specifically in the matter of personal data, the Labour Code refers to the general legislation on the protection of data privacy, currently Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 (the General Data Protection Regulation). Law No. 58/2019, of 8 August 2019, aimed at ensuring the applicability of the General Data Protection Regulation, contains specific provisions on employment.

35 Do employers need to provide privacy notices or similar information notices to employees and candidates?

Employers are subject to the same range of obligations in terms of information as any other data processor, as well as to additional specific obligations. The Labour Code contemplates a number of instances where the employer must provide specific information to the employees and candidates, ranging from medical tests and examinations to existing means of remote surveillance.

36 What data privacy rights can employees exercise against employers?

Employees have the same data privacy rights as any other data subject. Refusal to comply with the surrender of personal data for which the request is not permissible according to applicable rules may not be viewed as unlawful disobedience.

BUSINESS TRANSFERS

Employee protections

37 Is there any legislation to protect employees in the event of a business transfer?

As a member state of the European Union, Portugal has implemented Council Directive 2001/23/EC of 12 March 2001 on the safeguarding of employees' rights in the event of transfers of undertakings, businesses or parts of undertakings or businesses. As such, contracts of employment of employees engaged in the relevant business transfer to the acquirer, by operation of law (although employees have the right to refuse to transfer, by either choosing to remain with the transferor or terminating the contract with an allegation of cause and entitlement to severance pay). In addition, transfers of undertaking trigger a complex system of information and consultation before employee representatives and employees directly, the transfer being effective only when a minimum of seven working days have passed since an agreement is reached or the end of consultation without an agreement.

The regime on transfers of undertakings applies only where, as a result of the transfer, the identity of the employer changes. Accordingly, share deals are not covered thereby, even though they may have identical or even greater impact in terms of conditions of employment as a business transfer. In any case, share deals may also give rise to information (and potentially, consultation) regarding the works council and union representatives at company level. Likewise, employees must be informed in writing about changes to group relations.

TERMINATION OF EMPLOYMENT

Grounds for termination

38 May an employer dismiss an employee for any reason or must there be 'cause'? How is cause defined under the applicable statute or regulation?

Other than during the probationary period or at the end of fixed or nonfixed term employment contracts, the rule is that an employer may only dismiss an employee with cause. Cause is either subjective (ie, based on guilty conduct of the employee, the seriousness of which makes it immediately impossible to maintain the contract (such as dismissal for disciplinary grounds)); or objective (ie, for company-related reasons (such as collective dismissal and dismissal based on the extinction of job positions, both of which may be based on the shutdown of company sections or market, structural or technological motives)). The law also contemplates dismissals based on the employee's failure to adapt to the job position, as a result of changes to the job position or in situations where someone in a management position has failed to meet objectives previously agreed to in writing.

One particular type of contract of employment – which came into effect under the service commission (comissão de serviço) regime and is restricted to management positions and their personal assistants and other functions contemplated in collective bargaining agreements, the nature of which is also based on a particular relationship of trust – entitles the employer to terminate the contract at will, by giving notice and paying severance.

Notice

39 Must notice of termination be given prior to dismissal? May an employer provide pay in lieu of notice?

Other than in dismissals for disciplinary reasons or during a probationary period that lasted up to 60 days, notice of termination prior to dismissal is due. The duration of notice depends on the type of contract, dismissal or period in which the termination takes place, as follows:

- probationary periods:
 - of between 61 and 120 days: seven days' notice; or
 - · of more than 120 days: 15 days' notice;
- · fixed-term contract: eight days' notice;
- non-fixed term contract:
 - · contract of up to six months: seven days' notice;
 - contract of between six months and two years: 30 days' notice: or
 - contract of more than two years: 60 days' notice;
- collective dismissal, dismissal based on the extinction of job positions or dismissal based on the employee's failure to adapt:
 - less than one year of service: 15 days' notice;
 - between one and five years of service: 30 days' notice;
 - between five and 10 years of service: 60 days' notice; or
 - employee with at least 10 years of service: 75 days' notice;
- contracts entered into under the service commission regime:
 - if the service commission lasted up to two years: 30 days' notice; or
 - if the service commission lasted for more than two years: 60 days' notice; and
- fixed-term contract with employees who have retired or turned 70 years old without retiring: 60 days.

It is only during the probationary period or at the end of a non-fixed term employment contract or a contract entered into under the service commission regime that the employer may pay in lieu of notice.

40 In which circumstances may an employer dismiss an employee without notice or payment in lieu of notice?

The only situation where the employer may dismiss an employee without giving notice or paying in lieu of notice is where the probationary period has not reached 60 days of duration.

Severance pay

41 Is there any legislation establishing the right to severance pay upon termination of employment? How is severance pay calculated?

Dismissals during the probationary period or on disciplinary grounds do not give right to severance pay. The same applies to the expiry of fixed-term contracts with employees who have retired (and continued to work) or turned 70 years old without retiring. In termination agreements, severance pay is not mandatory but is frequently agreed upon (with no minimum amount being due).

All other forms of termination of employment decided by the employer entitle the employee to severance pay, the law setting the minimum amount due. In all cases, the basis of severance pay is base remuneration and seniority premiums for each complete year of service; fractions of years of service are compensated proportionally:

- fixed-term contract: 18 days' severance pay; and
- non-fixed term contract:
 - in the first three years: 18 days' severance pay; or
 - after three years: 12 days' severance pay.

As severance pay has been decreasing in recent years, a complex transitory system is now in place in the event of other forms of termination (such as, collective dismissal), as follows:

- employment commenced before 1 November 2011:
 - for the period of the contract to 31 October 2012: one month's severance pay; and
 - for the period of the contract between 1 November 2012 and 30 September 2013: 20 days' severance pay; and
 - for the period of the contract from 1 October 2013: 12 days' severance pay (18 days' pay during the first three years of the contract if, by 1 October 2013, the contract had not reached three years);
- employment commenced between 1 November 2011 and 30 September 2013:
 - for the period of the contract to 30 September 2013: 20 days' severance pay; and
 - for the period of the contract from 1 October 2013: 12 days' severance pay (18 days' pay during the first three years of the contract if, by 1 October 2013, the contract had not reached three years); and
- employment commenced after 1 October 2013: 12 days' severance pay.

Procedure

42 Are there any procedural requirements for dismissing an employee?

Each dismissal has its own procedural requirements, complexity depending on the type of dismissal.

To end a contract during the probationary period or at the end of a fixed or non-fixed term employment contract, the employer must issue a simple, written communication.

Other forms of dismissal trigger more complex procedures. For example, dismissals on disciplinary grounds comprise three stages: accusation, defence (where the employee may request probative diligence) and decision. During the process, employee representatives may be asked to issue a prior, non-binding opinion.

In collective dismissals, employers are required to provide written information and consult with the employee representatives prior to issuing the final decision; representatives of the Ministry of Employment are present during the negotiations. In dismissals based on the extinction of job positions, negotiations are replaced by the employee opposing the

dismissal in writing and requesting the Authority for Working Conditions to assess specific requirements of the dismissal (eg, if there are fixed-term contracts to perform similar functions).

As a rule, no prior approval from a government agency is required.

Employee protections

43 In what circumstances are employees protected from dismissal?

Employees are protected from dismissal on parental grounds, in the sense that the dismissal (of any kind) of an employee who is pregnant, within 120 days from giving birth, breastfeeding or taking parental leave, is subject to a prior, favourable opinion of the equality commission. Where the opinion is unfavourable to dismissal, the employer must seek the court's prior authorisation to dismiss the employee.

Mass terminations and collective dismissals

44 Are there special rules for mass terminations or collective dismissals?

There are special rules for collective dismissals, governing its admissible grounds, specific procedure and employees' entitlements.

Class and collective actions

45 Are class or collective actions allowed or may employees only assert labour and employment claims on an individual basis?

Class actions are not contemplated in the employment field. Employees, however, may bring claims together, where the petition and cause of action are similar. In certain lawsuits (eg, challenge of collective dismissal), the employer is under an obligation to call all employees who were dismissed, even if they had no intention of opposing the dismissal.

Trade unions and works councils are also entitled to recourse to court, the admissible scope being significantly wider in the case of trade unions. Depending on the subject matter, trade unions may file lawsuits to enforce their own rights or in representation or substitution of their affiliates.

Mandatory retirement age

Does the law in your jurisdiction allow employers to impose a mandatory retirement age? If so, at what age and under what limitations?

Employers are prevented from imposing a mandatory retirement age. However, the contract of an employee who has retired (and continued working) or has reached the age of 70 without retiring converts into a six-month, fixed-term contract by operation of law, and the employer has the option to expire the contract by giving 60 days' notice.

DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Arbitration

47 May the parties agree to private arbitration of employment disputes?

The parties may agree to private arbitration of employment disputes, provided the dispute does not refer to unavailable rights (eg, rights concerning work accidents) or matters that must be referred to the judicial courts (eg, unlawful dismissal).

Employee waiver of rights

48 May an employee agree to waive statutory and contractual rights to potential employment claims?

During the contract of employment, the employee is, in principle, prevented from waiving statutory and contractual rights to potential employment claims, notably pay. In the context of a termination agreement where the employee is paid global severance pay, there is a presumption that all employment claims are included in the severance pay (however, the employee may prove otherwise).

Limitation period

49 What are the limitation periods for bringing employment claims?

The statute of limitation for bringing employment claims is one year counted from the day following the day of termination of the contract. However, challenges of individual dismissal (communicated to the employee in writing) and collective dismissals must be filed within 60 days and six months of dismissal, respectively. Claims concerning breach of annual vacation, indemnity for abusive sanction or payment of overtime work due more than five years previously must be proved by means of adequate documentation.

UPDATE AND TRENDS

Key developments of the past year

50 Are there any emerging trends or hot topics in labour and employment regulation in your jurisdiction? Are there current proposals to change the legislation?

Most topics currently in discussion relate to state relief measures to protect employment in the context of the covid-19 pandemic. In the wake of that, there have been calls to review the existing framework on remote work, also with a view to make it a more standard regime in the future (ie, regardless of the pandemic).

Coronavirus

51 What emergency legislation, relief programmes and other initiatives specific to your practice area has your state implemented to address the pandemic? Have any existing government programmes, laws or regulations been amended to address these concerns? What best practices are advisable for clients?

The state has enacted several relief measures to protect employment in the context of the covid-19 pandemic. Such temporary measures include, among others, financial assistance by the state to those in quarantine, those ill with the disease and those unable to work owing to schools being closed, as well as, on the part of employers, simplified forms of lay-off and, in that context, the prohibition of dismissals during the receipt of financial assistance and for 60 days thereafter.



Joana Almeida

joanaalmeida@mlgts.pt

Rua Castilho, 165 1070-050 Lisbon Portugal Tel: +351 21 381 7400 Fax: +351 21 381 7499

www.mlgts.pt

Other titles available in this series

Acquisition Finance
Advertising & Marketing

Air Transport
Anti-Corruption Regulation

Anti-Money Laundering

Appeals
Arbitration
Art Law
Asset Recovery

Agribusiness

Automotive

Aviation Finance & Leasing

Aviation Liability
Banking Regulation
Business & Human Rights
Cartel Regulation
Class Actions
Cloud Computing

Commercial Contracts
Competition Compliance

Complex Commercial Litigation

Construction Copyright

Corporate Governance
Corporate Immigration
Corporate Reorganisations

Cybersecurity

Data Protection & Privacy
Debt Capital Markets
Defence & Security
Procurement
Dispute Resolution

Distribution & Agency
Domains & Domain Names

Dominance
Drone Regulation
e-Commerce
Electricity Regulation
Energy Disputes

Enforcement of Foreign
Judgments

Environment & Climate

Regulation
Equity Derivatives
Executive Compensation &

Employee Benefits
Financial Services Compliance
Financial Services Litigation

Fintech

Foreign Investment Review

Franchise

Fund Management

Gaming
Gas Regulation

Government Investigations Government Relations Healthcare Enforcement &

Litigation
Healthcare M&A
High-Yield Debt
Initial Public Offerings
Insurance & Reinsurance
Insurance Litigation

Intellectual Property & Antitrust

Investment Treaty Arbitration Islamic Finance & Markets

Joint Ventures

Labour & Employment
Legal Privilege & Professional

Secrecy
Licensing
Life Sciences
Litigation Funding
Loans & Secured Financing

Luxury & Fashion M&A Litigation Mediation Merger Control Mining

Oil Regulation
Partnerships
Patents

Pensions & Retirement Plans

Pharma & Medical Device

Regulation

Pharmaceutical Antitrust

Ports & Terminals
Private Antitrust Litigation
Private Banking & Wealth

Management
Private Client
Private Equity
Private M&A
Product Liability
Product Recall
Project Finance

Public M&A

Public Procurement
Public-Private Partnerships

Rail Transport Real Estate Real Estate M&A Renewable Energy

Right of Publicity

Risk & Compliance Management

Restructuring & Insolvency

Securities Finance Securities Litigation Shareholder Activism &

Engagement Ship Finance Shipbuilding Shipping

Sovereign Immunity

Sports Law State Aid

Structured Finance &
Securitisation
Tax Controversy

Tax on Inbound Investment

Technology M&A
Telecoms & Media
Trade & Customs
Trademarks
Transfer Pricing
Vertical Agreements

Also available digitally

lexology.com/gtdt

an LBR business